

Annual statement of transmission system operator

01.10.2013 Riga The Report is prepared in accordance with the regulations No. 322 "Regulations on the TSO's annual statement" by Latvian Cabinet of Ministers from April 25, 2006, taking into account "Energy Development Guidelines for years 2007-2016 in Latvia" approved by the Latvian Cabinet of Ministers.

1. Electricity and power demand in the country last year

1.1. Electricity consumption (including losses) by week for year 2012 shown in the Table 1

Total annual energy consumption including losses equals 7 889 528 MWh.

| | | | | | | | | Table 1 |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Consumption, MWh | 161569 | 168530 | 171336 | 175647 | 190672 | 196143 | 183405 | 171514 |
| Week | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Consumption, MWh | 165951 | 165672 | 157194 | 152564 | 152214 | 145825 | 141306 | 145857 |
| Week | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| Consumption, MWh | 140035 | 121581 | 132725 | 133091 | 130926 | 127607 | 129717 | 132887 |
| Week | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| Consumption, MWh | 130112 | 129639 | 132766 | 132359 | 127594 | 134350 | 133469 | 133233 |
| Week | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| Consumption, MWh | 138605 | 137691 | 139904 | 135932 | 140159 | 138606 | 144132 | 144372 |
| Week | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| Consumption, MWh | 152111 | 150018 | 156028 | 162930 | 161192 | 163867 | 164315 | 171728 |
| Week | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 | | | | |
| Consumption, MWh | 187827 | 189192 | 199289 | 164140 | | | | |

1.2. Maximum winter peak load and minimum summer load (data integrated from SCADA, MWh/h)

| Minimum load: | 396 MW | 03.06.2012. | 06.00 |
|---------------|---------|-------------|-------|
| Maximum load: | 1365 MW | 19.12.2012. | 17.00 |

1.3. System load in control measurement days shown in Table 2 (24 hours)

| - | · | Table 2 |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2012 | June 3 rd . | December 19 th . |
| h | MWh | MWh |
| 01:00 | 518 | 886 |
| 02:00 | 469 | 844 |
| 03:00 | 445 | 828 |
| 04:00 | 424 | 818 |
| 05:00 | 401 | 818 |
| 06:00 | 396 | 865 |

| 07:00 | 422 | 989 |
|-------|--------|--------|
| 08:00 | 470 | 1149 |
| 09:00 | 547 | 1262 |
| 10:00 | 622 | 1304 |
| 11:00 | 666 | 1300 |
| 12:00 | 664 | 1296 |
| 13:00 | 687 | 1250 |
| 14:00 | 685 | 1280 |
| 15:00 | 685 | 1287 |
| 16:00 | 659 | 1304 |
| 17:00 | 666 | 1365 |
| 18:00 | 657 | 1359 |
| 19:00 | 667 | 1335 |
| 20:00 | 678 | 1299 |
| 21:00 | 674 | 1258 |
| 22:00 | 673 | 1201 |
| 23:00 | 662 | 1096 |
| 00:00 | 618 | 985 |
| Total | 14 055 | 27 378 |

2. Electricity and power demand in the coming years (minimum forecast period - 10 years), including the annual electricity consumption and peak loads by scenarios

Maximum power system load is calculated (normalized) based on the Latvian Ministry of Economics submitted Latvian GDP growth forecast to average regulatory outdoor temperature during winter period (December-February) -3.5°C (Table 3). Changes in outdoor temperature also changes the maximum load. Electricity consumption of the system is forecasted for two scenarios - conservative and optimistic.

| Year | Annual consumption for conservative scenario | Annual consumption for optimistic scenario | Peak load |
|------|---|---|-----------|
| | GWh | GWh | MW |
| 2013 | 8056 | 8056 | 1397 |
| 2014 | 8135 | 8217 | 1425 |
| 2015 | 8189 | 8339 | 1452 |
| 2016 | 8267 | 8480 | 1481 |
| 2017 | 8319 | 8624 | 1510 |
| 2018 | 8370 | 8806 | 1542 |
| 2019 | 8403 | 8902 | 1575 |
| 2020 | 8478 | 9062 | 1607 |
| 2021 | 8508 | 9170 | 1639 |
| 2022 | 8586 | 9348 | 1673 |
| 2023 | 8620 | 9467 | 1706 |

3. Generation and consumption compliance rating during the reporting period and forecast for the future years (minimum forecast period - 10 years)

3.1. Annual power consumption and possible sources of power supplies

Electricity and electrical power balances as well as electricity consumption forecast is developed for two scenarios:

- Scenario A "Conservative Development": generating capacity development that takes into account the power plants, which are placed in service or closed in accordance with the information in possession of transmission system operator (hereinafter referred to as TSO);
- Scenario B ''optimistic development'': This forecast takes into account the future development of the base electric power stations, whose commissioning, according to information available to the TSO, is regarded as possible.

In **Scenario A** second unit of RigaCHP2 is commissioned at September 24, 2013 with an installed capacity of 439 MW (licensed installed capacity). Total installed electric capacity of RigaCHP2 power plant is 881 MW.

In **B Scenario** additional to Scenario A until year 2023, due to public support for electricity produced from renewable energy sources a faster wind, biomass and biogas power development is predicted. New high-power base power plant development Latvian for next 10 years is not planned.

Note: Power plant output is presented in net values and takes into account the power plant planned annual maintenance schedules.

Assumptions and explanations for the tables:

- ¹⁾ Daugava cascade hydropower plant (hereinafter the Daugava HPP) multi-annual average net output according to the statistical data are 2700 GWh per year.
- ²⁾ The available capacity of the Daugava HPP from January 2010 to September 2013 for the Latvian electricity needs are kept less for 100 MW because of "Latvenergo" AS agreement for 100 MW of emergency backup provision for Estonian transmission system operator "Elering" AS needs. In year 2012 the transmission system operator "Elering" AS with total electricity consumption of 29.393 GWh has used emergency reserve 543 times.
- ³⁾ In 2010, a five-party agreement of BRELL ring between the Estonian, Latvian, Lithuanian, Russian and Belarusian TSO provides for the mutual provision of emergency reserves from the beginning of the realization and up to 12 hours. Emergency reserve of Latvia provides BRELL five-party agreement on a common emergency reserve maintenance for each of the parties involved, maintaining 100 MW each, which consists of the sum of 500 MW, but after "Nord Pool Spot" AS Latvian electricity market bidding area opening on June 3, 2013, Latvian, registered traders no longer carries out cross-border electricity supply to/from neighboring countries (import/export), but it is done in the power exchange and TSOs can no longer accurately predict the volume of electricity supplies in his area from local power generators and neighbors. This means that the Latvian, Lithuanian and Estonian bidding area for each of the three Baltic TSOs are fully guaranteed market transactions carried out in its

license area. Given the above, as well as the largest generating unit load in Latvia, Latvian power system needs of the emergency reserve is ensured according to the maximum generating units planned load, ie up to 442 MW (RigaCHP2 largest unit).

- ⁴⁾ On 2011 aggreement signed on emergency replacement reserve provision after receiving command from TSO Dispach deptment (reserve capacity is 100 MW).
- ⁵⁾ Power system regulation reserve assessed as 6% of the system peak load and 10% of the installed wind power station capacity for winter peak load day.
- ⁶⁾ For power balance monthly assessment it is necessary to account in-flow for DaugavaHPP in Daugava river. For January least average inflow has been 125 m³/sek, which corresponds to 220 MW of power for covering peak demand.
- ⁷⁾ Installed capacities of power plants in the table are presented, including their own self-consumption (gross), but the rest of the tables are shown excluding self-consumption (net). Gross output is the total capacity of the power plant developed by all main generator units and generators for self-consumption. Net power output is gross output minus the power of the self-consumption equipment required for feeding power and power losses in transformers.

⁸⁾ Available capacity to cover peak load at Daugava HPP and RigaCHP2 presented, including a long term reserves available (emergency, replacement and regulation reserves).

- ⁹⁾ Wind power installed capacity and net capacity for conservative scenario assumed on the basis of the information report "Latvian Republic Action for renewable energy in the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 Directive 2009/28 / EC on the promotion of energy from renewable sources and amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001 / 77 / EC and 2003/30 / EC by 2020", the optimistic scenario based on technical requirements issued by "Augstsprieguma tikls" AS and "Sadales tikls" AS. In the conservative scenario, biomass and biogas power plant capacity assessed on the basis of the information presented in the report "Latvian Republic Action for renewable energy in the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 Directive 2009/28 / EC on the promotion of energy from renewable sources and amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77 / EC and 2003/30 / EC by 2020", but in optimistic scenario based on technical requirements issued by "Augstsprieguma tikls" AS and "Sadales tikls" AS.
- ¹⁰⁾ In electricity balance tables RigaCHP1 and RigaCHP2 power generation is assessed as possible, for both RigaCHPs developing the maximum possible amount of electricity in annual terms.

Installed rated capacity (bruto) of power stations is shown on Table 4, MW

| Instance rated ca | | | F | | | | -, | | | | Tal | ble 4 |
|--|--------|------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| | | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| Power stations with installed capacity above 40 MW | 1 | 2414 | 2633 | 2638 | 2648 | 2659 | 2660 | 2661 | 2662 | 2663 | 2664 | 2664 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs | 1.1 | 1560 | 1560 | 1565 | 1575 | 1586 | 1587 | 1588 | 1589 | 1590 | 1591 | 1591 |
| Riga CHP1 | 1.2 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 |
| Riga CHP2 | 1.3 | 662 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 | 881 |
| Imanta CHP | 1.4 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| Installed capacity of small power stations (conservative scenario) | 2 | 270 | 340 | 390 | 441 | 490 | 539 | 588 | 639 | 700 | 747 | 798 |
| Including: Natural gas co-generation stations | 2.1 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 102 | 104 | 105 | 107 | 108 | 110 |
| Hydro power stations | 2.2 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Wind power stations (conservative scenario) $^{9)}$ | 2.3 | 59 | 103 | 147 | 191 | 235 | 280 | 324 | 368 | 412 | 456 | 500 |
| On shore | 2.3.1. | 59 | 103 | 147 | 191 | 235 | 280 | 288 | 294 | 313 | 346 | 360 |
| Off shore | 2.3.2. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 74 | 99 | 109 | 140 |
| Wind power stations (optimistic scenario) $^{9)}$ | 2.4 | 59 | 123 | 187 | 251 | 315 | 380 | 444 | 508 | 572 | 636 | 700 |
| On shore | 2.4.1. | 59 | 123 | 187 | 196 | 214 | 258 | 302 | 345 | 389 | 432 | 452 |
| Off shore | 2.4.2. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 101 | 121 | 142 | 162 | 183 | 203 | 248 |
| Biomass and bio gas power stations (conservative scenario) $^{9)}$ | 2.5 | 90 | 113 | 117 | 120 | 123 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 145 | 146 | 150 |
| Biomass and bio gas power stations (optimistic scenario) ⁹⁾ | 2.6 | 90 | 120 | 133 | 146 | 159 | 172 | 185 | 198 | 211 | 224 | 250 |
| Solar power stations (conservative scenario) | 2.7 | 0.15 | 0.94 | 1.72 | 2.51 | 3.29 | 4.08 | 4.86 | 5.65 | 6.43 | 7.22 | 8.00 |
| Solar power stations (optimistic scenario) | 2.8 | 0.15 | 1.14 | 2.12 | 3.11 | 4.09 | 5.08 | 6.06 | 7.05 | 8.03 | 9.02 | 10.00 |

Latvian power system balance for winter peak load hours is shown in the Table 5 , MW (neto)

5. Table 5

| Scenario A | | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Maximum load | 1 | 1397 | 1425 | 1452 | 1481 | 1510 | 1542 | 1575 | 1607 | 1639 | 1673 | 1706 |
| Power stations with installed capacity above 40 MW | 2 | 2372 | 2584 | 2589 | 2599 | 2609 | 2610 | 2611 | 2612 | 2613 | 2614 | 2614 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs | 2.1 | 1552 | 1552 | 1557 | 1567 | 1578 | 1579 | 1580 | 1581 | 1582 | 1583 | 1583 |
| Riga CHP1 | 2.2 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 |
| Riga CHP2 | 2.3 | 639 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| Imanta CHP | 2.4 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Small power stations | 3 | 252 | 318 | 367 | 417 | 466 | 514 | 562 | 612 | 671 | 718 | 767 |
| Including: Natural gas co-generation power stations | 3.1 | 85 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 91 | 93 | 94 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 100 |
| Hydro power stations | 3.2 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Wind power stations | 3.3 | 58 | 102 | 146 | 189 | 233 | 277 | 320 | 364 | 408 | 451 | 495 |
| On shore | 3.3.1. | 58 | 102 | 146 | 189 | 233 | 277 | 285 | 291 | 310 | 343 | 356 |
| Off shore | 3.3.2. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 73 | 98 | 108 | 139 |
| Biomas and bio gas power stations | 3.4 | 82 | 103 | 106 | 109 | 112 | 114 | 117 | 118 | 132 | 133 | 136 |
| Solar power stations | 3.5 | 0.14 | 0.84 | 1.55 | 2.25 | 2.96 | 3.67 | 4.37 | 5.08 | 5.79 | 6.49 | 7.20 |
| Available capacities for peak load and reserve guaranteeing | 4 | 1348 | 1680 | 1688 | 1696 | 1703 | 1711 | 1718 | 1725 | 1741 | 1747 | 1755 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs (incl. reserve) ²⁾⁶⁾ | 4.1 | 400 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Riga CHP1 | 4.2 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 |
| Riga CHP2 | 4.3 | 639 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| Imanta CHP | 4.4 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Natural gas co-generation power stations | 4.5 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| Hydro power stations | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Wind power stations | 4.7 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 23 | 28 | 32 | 36 | 41 | 45 | 50 |
| Biomas and biogas power stations | 4.8 | 57 | 72 | 74 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 92 | 93 | 95 |
| Solar power stations | 4.9 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.62 | 0.90 | 1.18 | 1.47 | 1.75 | 2.03 | 2.31 | 2.60 | 2.88 |
| Power system emergency reserve ³ | 5 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Power system regulating reserve ⁵⁾ | 6 | 90 | 96 | 102 | 108 | 114 | 120 | 127 | 133 | 139 | 146 | 152 |
| Total reserve in Latvia | 7=5+6 | 490 | 496 | 502 | 508 | 514 | 520 | 527 | 533 | 539 | 546 | 552 |
| Power deficit | 8=4-1-7 | -538 | -241 | -266 | -293 | -321 | -352 | -384 | -414 | -438 | -472 | -503 |
| Power adequacy | 9=(4-7)/1 | 61% | 83% | 82% | 80% | 79% | 77% | 76% | 74% | 73% | 72% | 71% |

Latvian power system balance for winter peak load hours is shown in the Table 6, MW (neto)

| Latvian power system balan | | p | | | | | | | , | | Ta | ble 6 |
|---|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| Scenario B | | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| Maximum load | 1 | 1397 | 1425 | 1452 | 1481 | 1510 | 1542 | 1575 | 1607 | 1639 | 1673 | 1706 |
| Power stations with installed capacity above 40 MW | 2 | 2372 | 2584 | 2589 | 2599 | 2609 | 2610 | 2611 | 2612 | 2613 | 2614 | 2614 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs | 2.1 | 1552 | 1552 | 1557 | 1567 | 1578 | 1579 | 1580 | 1581 | 1582 | 1583 | 1583 |
| Riga CHP1 | 2.2 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 |
| Riga CHP2 | 2.3 | 639 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| Imanta CHP | 2.4 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Small power stations | 3 | 252 | 345 | 422 | 501 | 578 | 656 | 734 | 813 | 891 | 968 | 1058 |
| Including: Natural gas co-generation power stations | 3.1 | 85 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 91 | <i>93</i> | 94 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 100 |
| Hydro power stations | 3.2 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| Wind power stations | 3.3 | 58 | 122 | 185 | 249 | 312 | 376 | 439 | 503 | 566 | 630 | 693 |
| On shore | 3.3.1. | 58 | 122 | 185 | 194 | 212 | 256 | 299 | 342 | 385 | 428 | 448 |
| Off shore | 3.3.2. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 100 | 120 | 141 | 161 | 181 | 201 | 245 |
| Biomas and bio gas power stations | 3.4 | 82 | 109 | 121 | 133 | 145 | 156 | 168 | 180 | 192 | 204 | 227 |
| Solar power stations | 3.5 | 0.14 | 1.02 | 1.91 | 2.79 | 3.68 | 4.57 | 5.45 | 6.34 | 7.23 | 8.11 | 9.00 |
| Available capacities for peak load and reserve guaranteeing | 4 | 1348 | 1686 | 1702 | 1719 | 1734 | 1750 | 1766 | 1783 | 1799 | 1815 | 1839 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs ²⁾⁶⁾ | 4.1 | 400 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Riga CHP1 | 4.2 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 | 139 |
| Riga CHP2 | 4.3 | 639 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| Imanta CHP | 4.4 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| Natural gas co-generation stations | 4.5 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 | 70 |
| Hydro power stations | 4.6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Wind power stations | 4.7 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 25 | 31 | 38 | 44 | 50 | 57 | 63 | 69 |
| Biomas and biogas power stations | 4.8 | 57 | 76 | 85 | 93 | 101 | 109 | 118 | 126 | 134 | 143 | 159 |
| Solar power stations | 4.9 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.76 | 1.12 | 1.47 | 1.83 | 2.18 | 2.54 | 2.89 | 3.25 | 3.60 |
| Power system emergency reserve ³⁾ | 5 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| Power system regulating reserve ⁵⁾ | 6 | 90 | 98 | 106 | 114 | 122 | 130 | 138 | 147 | 155 | 163 | 172 |
| Total reserve in Latvia | 7=5+6 | 490 | 498 | 506 | 514 | 522 | 530 | 538 | 547 | 555 | 563 | 572 |
| <pre>Power import (-) / export(+)</pre> | 8=4-1-7 | -538 | -236 | -255 | -276 | -298 | -322 | -347 | -370 | -395 | -421 | -439 |
| Power adequacy | 9=(4-7)/1 | 61% | 83% | 82% | 81% | 80% | 79% | 78% | 77% | 76% | 75% | 74% |

| Scenario A | | | | - | | - | | | - | | Table 7 | r |
|--|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|
| Years | | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| Energy demand | 1 | 8056 | 8135 | 8189 | 8267 | 8319 | 8370 | 8403 | 8478 | 8508 | 8586 | 8620 |
| Output in power stations with installed capacity above 40 MW | 2 | 7773 | 9514 | 9510 | 9531 | 9552 | 9558 | 9563 | 9568 | 9574 | 9579 | 9579 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs ¹⁾ | 2.1 | 3023 | 2767 | 2763 | 2784 | 2805 | 2811 | 2816 | 2821 | 2827 | 2832 | 2832 |
| Riga CHP ¹⁰⁾ | 2.2 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 |
| Riga CHP ¹⁰⁾ | 2.3 | 3566 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 |
| Imanta CHP | 2.4 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Small power stations | 3 | 1223 | 1412 | 1487 | 1563 | 1634 | 1703 | 1771 | 1843 | 1985 | 2041 | 2119 |
| Including: Natural gas co-generation power stations | 3.1 | 555 | 565 | 574 | 584 | 593 | 603 | 612 | 622 | 631 | 641 | 650 |
| Hydro power stations | 3.2 | 77 | 77 | 77 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Wind power stations | 3.3 | 58 | 102 | 146 | 189 | 233 | 277 | 320 | 364 | 408 | 451 | 495 |
| Onshore | 3.3.1. | 58 | 102 | 146 | 189 | 233 | 277 | 285 | 291 | 310 | 343 | 356 |
| Offshore | 3.3.2. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 73 | 98 | 108 | 139 |
| Biomas and biogas power stations | 3.4 | 532 | 668 | 690 | 709 | 727 | 743 | 757 | 770 | 859 | 862 | 886 |
| Solar power stations | 3.5 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.68 | 0.89 | 1.10 | 1.31 | 1.52 | 1.74 | 1.95 | 2.16 |
| Possible annual export/import | 4=(2+3)-1 | 940 | 2792 | 2809 | 2827 | 2867 | 2891 | 2931 | 2933 | 3051 | 3034 | 3078 |
| Spring flood period export | 5 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Annua adequacy | 6=(2+3-5)/1 | 105% | 128% | 128% | 128% | 128% | 129% | 129% | 129% | 130% | 130% | 130% |

Possible power balance for A scenario (annual values) is presented in the table 7, GWh

C. in R

| Scenario B | | | | | | | | | | | | Table 8 |
|--|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Years | | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
| Energy demand | 1 | 8056 | 8217 | 8339 | 8480 | 8624 | 8806 | 8902 | 9062 | 9170 | 9348 | 9467 |
| Output in power stations with installed capacity above 40 MW | 2 | 7773 | 9514 | 9510 | 9531 | 9552 | 9558 | 9563 | 9568 | 9574 | 9579 | 9579 |
| Including: Daugava HPPs ¹⁾ | 2.1 | 3023 | 2767 | 2763 | 2784 | 2805 | 2811 | 2816 | 2821 | 2827 | 2832 | 2832 |
| Riga CHP ¹⁰ | 2.2 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 | 894 |
| Riga CHP ¹⁰ | 2.3 | 3566 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 | 5563 |
| Imanta CHP | 2.4 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 | 290 |
| Small power stations | 3 | 1237 | 1520 | 1702 | 1887 | 2069 | 2251 | 2434 | 2621 | 2803 | 2985 | 3244 |
| Including: Natural gas co-generation power stations | 3.1 | 555 | 565 | 574 | 584 | 593 | 603 | 612 | 622 | 631 | 641 | 650 |
| Hydro power stations | 3.2 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 |
| Wind power stations | 3.3 | 88 | 183 | 278 | 373 | 468 | 564 | 659 | 754 | 849 | 944 | 1040 |
| Onshore | 3.3.1. | 88 | 183 | 278 | 291 | 319 | 383 | 448 | 513 | 577 | 642 | 672 |
| Offshore | 3.3.2. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 150 | 180 | 211 | 241 | 272 | 302 | 368 |
| Biomas and biogas power stations | 3.4 | 532 | 709 | 786 | 863 | 940 | 1016 | 1093 | 1170 | 1247 | 1324 | 1477 |
| Solar power stations | 3.5 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 8.1 | 9.0 |
| Possible annual export/import | 4=(2+3)-1 | 954 | 2817 | 2873 | 2937 | 2997 | 3003 | 3094 | 3127 | 3207 | 3216 | 3357 |
| Spring flood period export | 5 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| Annua adequacy | 6=(2+3-5)/1 | 106% | 128% | 128% | 129% | 129% | 128% | 129% | 129% | 130% | 129% | 130% |

Power demand and possible sources of guaranteeing, hourly balance for Scenario A (peak load), MW

Scenario A

Year 2014. January, Wednesday of the third week. Working day peak load (Table 9)

| | | , ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, , | | | g uay peak loau | (10510)) | | | | | |
|-------|--------------|---|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|------|
| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
| 01:00 | 139 | 604 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 929 |
| 02:00 | 139 | 560 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 885 |
| 03:00 | 139 | 543 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 868 |
| 04:00 | 139 | 533 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 858 |
| 05:00 | 139 | 533 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 858 |
| 06:00 | 139 | 582 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 907 |
| 07:00 | 139 | 712 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1037 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 30 | 0 | 1205 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 792 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 206 | 0 | 1323 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 812 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 230 | 0 | 1367 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 104 | 84 | 1363 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 0 | 184 | 1359 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 0 | 136 | 1310 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 0 | 167 | 1342 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 66 | 108 | 1349 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.34 | 73 | 119 | 1367 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 140 | 117 | 1431 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 205 | 45 | 1425 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 206 | 19 | 1399 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 80 | 107 | 1362 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 144 | 1319 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 84 | 1259 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 824 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1149 |
| 00:00 | 139 | 708 | 42 | 72 | 61 | 5 | 6 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1033 |

Scenario A

Biomass Gas fueled co-Riga Riga Imanta Small Daugava h Wind power Solar power Import Load CHP2 HPP **HPPs** CHP1 CHP and biogas generation 01:00 0.00 02:00 0.00 03:00 0.00 0.00 04:00 0.00 05:00 06:00 0.00 07:00 0.00 0.00 08:00 1.47 09:00 10:00 1.47 11:00 1.47 12:00 1.47 1.47 13:00 1.47 14:00 15:00 1.47 16:00 1.47 17:00 0.00 18:00 0.00 19:00 0.00 20:00 0.00 21:00 0.00 22:00 0.00 23:00 0.00 00:00 0.00

Scenario A

| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|------|
| 01:00 | 139 | 715 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1112 |
| 02:00 | 139 | 662 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1060 |
| 03:00 | 139 | 642 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1040 |
| 04:00 | 139 | 630 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1027 |
| 05:00 | 139 | 630 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1027 |
| 06:00 | 139 | 689 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1086 |
| 07:00 | 139 | 844 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1242 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 30 | 165 | 1443 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 206 | 129 | 1584 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 230 | 157 | 1637 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 104 | 278 | 1632 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 0 | 377 | 1627 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 0 | 319 | 1569 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 0 | 357 | 1607 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 66 | 300 | 1616 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 2.88 | 73 | 314 | 1637 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 140 | 327 | 1714 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 205 | 254 | 1706 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 206 | 222 | 1676 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 80 | 303 | 1631 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 332 | 1579 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 261 | 1508 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 129 | 1376 |
| 00:00 | 139 | 839 | 42 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 45 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1237 |

Year 2023. January, Wednesday of the third week. Working day peak load (Table 11)

Power demand and possible sources of guaranteeing, hourly balance for Scenario B (peak load), MW

Scenario B

| Vacua 2014 January | Wadmandan of th | a a Alasiand service of a | Wanking dam. | neel leed (Table 12) |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Year 2014. January, | ννεαπεςάαν οι π | пе і піга жеек. | | реактоял (тяріе тд) |
| | | | | |

| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|------|
| 01:00 | 139 | 593 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 929 |
| 02:00 | 139 | 549 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 885 |
| 03:00 | 139 | 532 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 868 |
| 04:00 | 139 | 522 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 858 |
| 05:00 | 139 | 522 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 858 |
| 06:00 | 139 | 571 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 907 |
| 07:00 | 139 | 701 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1037 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 839 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 30 | 0 | 1205 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 782 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 206 | 0 | 1323 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 801 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 230 | 0 | 1367 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 104 | 73 | 1363 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 0 | 173 | 1359 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 0 | 124 | 1310 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 0 | 156 | 1342 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 66 | 97 | 1349 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.41 | 73 | 108 | 1367 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 140 | 106 | 1431 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 205 | 35 | 1425 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 206 | 8 | 1399 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 80 | 96 | 1362 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 133 | 1319 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 73 | 1259 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 813 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1149 |
| 00:00 | 139 | 697 | 42 | 76 | 61 | 5 | 12 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1033 |

Scenario B

Gas fueled co-Riga Riga Imanta **Biomass and** Small Daugava h Wind power Solar power Import Load CHP1 CHP2 HPP **HPPs** CHP biogas generation 0.00 01:00 02:00 0.00 03:00 0.00 0.00 04:00 0.00 05:00 06:00 0.00 07:00 0.00 08:00 0.00 1.83 09:00 1.83 10:00 11:00 1.83 12:00 1.83 13:00 1.83 1.83 14:00 15:00 1.83 1.83 16:00 17:00 0.00 18:00 0.00 19:00 0.00 20:00 0.00 21:00 0.00 22:00 0.00 23:00 0.00 00:00 0.00

Scenario B

| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|------|
| 01:00 | 139 | 627 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1112 |
| 02:00 | 139 | 575 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1060 |
| 03:00 | 139 | 554 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1040 |
| 04:00 | 139 | 550 | 34 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1027 |
| 05:00 | 139 | 550 | 34 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1027 |
| 06:00 | 139 | 601 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1086 |
| 07:00 | 139 | 757 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1242 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 30 | 77 | 1443 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 206 | 40 | 1584 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 230 | 69 | 1637 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 104 | 189 | 1632 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 0 | 288 | 1627 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 0 | 231 | 1569 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 0 | 268 | 1607 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 66 | 211 | 1616 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 73 | 226 | 1637 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 140 | 239 | 1714 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 205 | 167 | 1706 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 206 | 135 | 1676 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 80 | 215 | 1631 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 244 | 1579 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 173 | 1508 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 850 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 41 | 1376 |
| 00:00 | 139 | 752 | 42 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1237 |

Year 2023. January, Wednesday of the third week. Working day peak load (Table 14)

Power demand and possible sources of guaranteeing, hourly balance for A scenario (minimum load), MW

Scenario A

| <u>June 20</u> | 18. – mini | mum load | (Table 15) | | | | | | | | Table 15 |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
| 00:00 | 139 | 279 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 588 |
| 01:00 | 139 | 223 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 532 |
| 02:00 | 139 | 196 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 505 |
| 03:00 | 139 | 172 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 481 |
| 04:00 | 114 | 171 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 455 |
| 05:00 | 108 | 171 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| 06:00 | 138 | 171 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 479 |
| 07:00 | 139 | 224 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 533 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 272 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 38 | 0 | 621 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 283 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 112 | 0 | 706 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 297 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 148 | 0 | 756 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 284 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 159 | 0 | 754 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 378 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 91 | 0 | 780 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 377 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 90 | 0 | 777 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 365 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 102 | 0 | 777 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 349 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 88 | 0 | 748 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 388 | 0 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 57 | 0 | 756 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 375 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 20 | 0 | 746 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 406 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 1.18 | 0 | 0 | 757 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 420 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 769 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 416 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 765 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 414 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 764 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 402 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 751 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 352 | 40 | 80 | 65 | 5 | 20 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 701 |

Scenario A

| June 20 | 23. – mini | mum load | (Table 16) | | | | | | | | Table 16 |
|---------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
| 00:00 | 139 | 251 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 650 |
| 01:00 | 139 | 189 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 589 |
| 02:00 | 128 | 170 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 559 |
| 03:00 | 102 | 170 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 532 |
| 04:00 | 73 | 170 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 503 |
| 05:00 | 66 | 170 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 497 |
| 06:00 | 99 | 170 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 530 |
| 07:00 | 139 | 190 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 590 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 284 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 0 | 0 | 687 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 378 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 0 | 0 | 781 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 396 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 38 | 0 | 836 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 319 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 112 | 0 | 834 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 332 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 128 | 0 | 863 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 318 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 139 | 0 | 860 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 367 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 91 | 0 | 860 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 335 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 90 | 0 | 827 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 332 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 102 | 0 | 836 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 334 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 88 | 0 | 825 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 378 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 2.88 | 57 | 0 | 837 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 432 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 20 | 0 | 851 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 427 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 20 | 0 | 846 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 425 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 20 | 0 | 845 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 431 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 831 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 376 | 40 | 95 | 70 | 6 | 50 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 776 |

Power demand and possible sources of guaranteeing, hourly balance for Scenario B (minimum load), MW

Scenario B

| June 201 | 8. – minim | um load (Ta | <u>able 17)</u> | | | | | | | | Table 17 |
|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
| 00:00 | 80 | 231 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 60 | 0 | 588 |
| 01:00 | 80 | 235 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 532 |
| 02:00 | 80 | 208 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 505 |
| 03:00 | 60 | 204 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 481 |
| 04:00 | 40 | 198 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 455 |
| 05:00 | 40 | 192 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 449 |
| 06:00 | 40 | 222 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 479 |
| 07:00 | 50 | 266 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 533 |
| 08:00 | 50 | 314 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 38 | 0 | 621 |
| 09:00 | 90 | 319 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 78 | 0 | 706 |
| 10:00 | 90 | 326 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 121 | 0 | 756 |
| 11:00 | 90 | 290 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 154 | 0 | 754 |
| 12:00 | 90 | 318 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 153 | 0 | 780 |
| 13:00 | 90 | 325 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 143 | 0 | 777 |
| 14:00 | 90 | 327 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 141 | 0 | 777 |
| 15:00 | 90 | 308 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 131 | 0 | 748 |
| 16:00 | 90 | 325 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 122 | 0 | 756 |
| 17:00 | 90 | 325 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 111 | 0 | 746 |
| 18:00 | 110 | 328 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 1.83 | 100 | 0 | 757 |
| 19:00 | 110 | 344 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 98 | 0 | 769 |
| 20:00 | 110 | 339 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 99 | 0 | 765 |
| 21:00 | 110 | 329 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 107 | 0 | 764 |
| 22:00 | 120 | 325 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 89 | 0 | 751 |
| 23:00 | 90 | 321 | 0 | 109 | 65 | 5 | 38 | 0.00 | 73 | 0 | 701 |

Scenario B

June 2023. – minimum load (Table 18)

| <u>June 202</u> | 23. – minim | um load (T | able 18) | | | | | | | | Table 18 |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|----------|
| h | Riga CHP1 | Riga CHP2 | Imanta CHP | Biomass and biogas | Gas fueled co- generation | Small HPP | Wind power | Solar power | Daugava HPPs | Import | Load |
| 00:00 | 128 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 48 | 0 | 650 |
| 01:00 | 115 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 589 |
| 02:00 | 85 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 559 |
| 03:00 | 58 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 532 |
| 04:00 | 40 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 59 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 503 |
| 05:00 | 40 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 53 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 497 |
| 06:00 | 40 | 170 | 0 | 147 | 50 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 48 | 0 | 530 |
| 07:00 | 98 | 170 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 18 | 0 | 590 |
| 08:00 | 139 | 202 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 38 | 0 | 687 |
| 09:00 | 139 | 256 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 78 | 0 | 781 |
| 10:00 | 139 | 268 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 121 | 0 | 836 |
| 11:00 | 139 | 233 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 154 | 0 | 834 |
| 12:00 | 139 | 263 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 153 | 0 | 863 |
| 13:00 | 139 | 270 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 143 | 0 | 860 |
| 14:00 | 139 | 272 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 141 | 0 | 860 |
| 15:00 | 139 | 250 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 131 | 0 | 827 |
| 16:00 | 139 | 267 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 122 | 0 | 836 |
| 17:00 | 139 | 267 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 111 | 0 | 825 |
| 18:00 | 139 | 291 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 3.60 | 100 | 0 | 837 |
| 19:00 | 139 | 310 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 98 | 0 | 851 |
| 20:00 | 139 | 304 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 99 | 0 | 846 |
| 21:00 | 139 | 297 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 105 | 0 | 845 |
| 22:00 | 139 | 327 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 61 | 0 | 831 |
| 23:00 | 139 | 333 | 0 | 159 | 70 | 6 | 69 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 776 |

3.2. Information on energy cross-border trade amounts for year 2012 presented in the Table 19

Table 19

| | Amounts of energy trade (MWh) |
|--------|-------------------------------|
| Import | 4 935 455 |
| Export | 3 243 632 |

3.3. TSO evaluation for the time periods of insufficient power adequacy and suggestions for power supply guarantee in forcoming years (i.e. generation development on certain locations, demand side management, new infrastructure creation)

Since 3 June 2013 Latvia is operating according to "Nord Pool Spot" AS energy market principles and electricity trading takes place jointly and consistent throughout the Baltic Sea region. Power supply and demand in Latvia is regulated by Latvian electricity market and Latvian TSO provides market transactions in Latvian bidding area, as well as the balance of power in the region and the generation and interconnection capacity available. Since the European Union's Energy Action Plan 2050 was adopted, which states that the generation and development of national capacity adequacy should be focused on areas with the potential for renewable energy to stimulate the reduction of CO2 emissions and greenhouse gas reduction, and promote a more efficient and competitive development of the power plant, the power base of the adequacy of one within a country is not necessarily an indication of the adequacy of generating capacity, but it must take into account the complex with the available throughput capacity to / from the State or region. Working in the above circumstances, in recent years there has not been a situation where Latvia would need to disable any consumer or regions due to insufficient generating capacity or insufficient capacity on interconnectors with Lithuania, Estonia and Russia. Working synchronously with Russia, Latvian TSO in all modes has been able to ensure the adequacy of the Latvian electricity system, regardless of the existing generating units in the Latvian territory. At the same time, looking at the adequacy of the state and a regional level, the generating capacity of the Latvian electricity system is insufficient to cover the peak load and cover self-consumption.

Analyzing the capacity adequacy for the coming years, the conservative scenario (A) of power (MW) security analysis tables (Table 5) shows that the generating capacity is insufficient to cover the Latvian electricity peak load, not only this year but also in 2023, when second unit has already been implemented in Riga CHP2 (439 MW) and the planned construction of wind power installed capacity could reach 495 MW. It is planned that 139 MW of total wind power installed capacity could be on offshore wind parks, the pace of development at the moment it is difficult to predict. For conservative scenario, electricity demand can be covered at 100% throughout the whole study period, because of the large gas power plants RigaCHP1 and RigaCHP2 are able to cover the electricity consumption (Table 7). Looking at the Latvian TSO forecast, the market studies of European transmission system operators associacion (ENTSO-E) and "Nord Pool Spot" AS market principles, meaning power generation for RigaCHP1 and RigaCHP2 is practically impossible, but theoretically, in the isolated operation mode from the neighboring power systems, and taking into account the high gas price for RigaCHP1 and RigacHP2 in the analysed period are able to produce the specified amount of electricity. The optimistic scenario (B) of power (MW) security analysis tables (Table 6) shows that with the rapid wind, biomass and biogas power stations development at the year 2018 Latvian power system will not be able to cover the peak load, but only the forecasted electricity consumption (79% self-sufficiency capacity and 128% provision of electricity supply, if RigaCHP1 and RigaCHP2 will be operated outside the liberatsid market conditions) and even in 2023, the Latvian power system will not be able to cover the peak load (74%), but will allow electricity to produce 130% (RigaCHP1 and RigaCHP2 operating outside the electricity market conditions). In the optimistic scenario (B), increasing the share of wind power in Latvian electricity system, the need for reagulating reserve will be increased, due to unpredictable operation, for the achievement of which it is recommended to implement power station projects with solid gaseous or liquid fuels.

| | | Table 20 |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Month | Max required | Available |
| Month | MW | MW |
| January | 100 | 100 |
| February | 100 | 100 |
| March | 100 | 100 |
| April | 100 | 100 |
| May | 100 | 100 |
| June | 100 | 100 |
| July | 100 | 100 |
| August | 100 | 100 |
| September | 100 | 100 |
| October | 100 | 100 |
| November | 100 | 100 |
| December | 100 | 100 |

3.4. Information on required and available emergency reserve capacities in year 2012 is shown in the Table 20.

3.5. TSO conclusions on generation capacity and power availability for the needs of Latvian consumers

Despite the growing power balance currently Latvian power station capacities are not sufficient, but after the completion of RigaCHP2 second block, theoretically, Latvia will be able to provide the electricity demand for the next 10 years. Due to the fact that power stations need to maintain reserve capacity, the available capacity is insufficient to gauarantee the Latvian demand, especially in winter and summer periods, characterised by low water inflow in the river Daugava. Daugava HPP mode of operation (the largest generation source) is directly dependent on the water inflow in the river. State power supply is dependent on the base mode of Latvian and neighboring power plants.

Energy Development Guidelines states that in 2012 the Latvian power system has to achieve 80% self-sufficiency, and in 2016 it must be at 100% level. Table 5 shows that in 2013 in the conservative scenario (A) Latvian power system capacity to self-sufficiency has not reached the 80% level, but is only 61%, and the provision of electricity (Table 7) is 105%, the same as in optimistic scenario (B). In 2018 the capacity self-sufficiency of Latvian power system in conservative scenario (A) is 77% (Table 5) and the provision of electricity (Table 7) is 129%, but in the optimistic development scenario (B) the capacity self-sufficiency (Table 7) is 129%, and the provision of electricity (Table 6) is 79% and the provision of electricity (Table 8) is 128%. Adequacy in conservative scenario (A) for year 2023 is projected to be 71% (Table 5) and the provision of electricity to 130% (Table 7), but the optimistic scenario (B) adequacy reaches 74% and the provision of electricity to 130%. Adequacy of electricity in the power system significantly affected by the need for emergency reserve maintenance and adjustment reserve maintenance, as well as the increase in the proportion of wind power in the electricity system requires increase of regulation

reserve to 10% of the installed wind power capacity. Currently, Latvia is supporting production of electricity from renewable energy sources, so it is important to realize some of the high-power production projects with Latvian participation in it - either Latvian or the Baltic States, and not only to increase the security of the Latvian electricity system with a capacity but also to diversify the electricity production. The current situation of the Latvian electricity system depends on Russian gas import options, conditions and prices.

New base power plant comissioning by 2018 and 2023 is not expected since the Energy Strategy 2030 provides the preconditions for the development of only economically viable regional low-carbon emission base power station projects and is steering away from direct public support for base power stations projects. Taking into account past experience, has no reason to consider that the technical requirements submitted to the system operators for the construction of small power plants will be realized in full. By now the suspense of the Renewable Energy Law and reworking mandatory procurement components calculation methodology development and validation of these two documents to the Cabinet of Ministers, Government is delaying the development of renewable energy sources - wind, solar, biomass and biogas, and does not contribute to their development as it was planned in advance. In this context, TSO believe that actually built station number and power will be considerably less than the specified in technical requirement issued, but there are not available any criteria by which to objectively assess and monitor the planned power plant construction process.

4. Transmission system adequacy for demand and maintenance quality

4.1. TSO conclusions on the power transmission system adequacy for the tasks of transportation of electricity and the ability to provide noninterrupted functioning of the power system in outage of one of the systems facilities and activities (individually and jointly with other transmission system operators) for the reliable operation of the transmission system for the coming years (minimum forecast period - 10 years)

By the end of 2009 the transmission capacity of the network provided for the Latvian electricity consumers of electricity demand with an adequate sufficient rezerve during normal modes of operation. From 2010, the situation significantly changed with closure of Ignalina NPP and as the result was significantly reduced capacity in cross-border in Estonia-Latvia and Russia-Latvia, due to the reason that Estonian TSO "Elering" AS established increased or critical conductor slack for number of interconnections and internal 330 kV transmission lines. Cross-border transmission capacity of 1150 MW to Latvian direction is reduced to 900 MW and towards the Estonian direction to 850 MW at an outdoor temperature of 0°C or lower, and down to 700 MW in Latvian direction and down to 750 MW in Estonia direction at an outdoor temperature of 25°C, taking into account existing capacity, as well as during the summer conductor thermal limits. Estonian TSO carried out lenghty investigations and line survey at different outdoor temperatures and line loads, receiving help from professionals from Scandinavian companies. On this basis "Elering" AS made conclusions of the examination and now "Elering" AS has prepared a timetable for internal and external 330 kV transmission line reinforcement to prevent critical slacks. Starting from 2018 "Elering" AS plans to start a transmission lines reconstruction for slack prevention and plans to invest additional money for the cause. All necessary lines planned to be reconstructed by the 2030. This mentioned cross-border capacity constraint significantly complicates the possibility for Latvian and Lithuanian consumers, as well as in some cases the Kaliningrad region consumers to import electricity from cheaper electricity prices areas of Estonian and Scandinavian countries, as a result, Lithuanian and Latvian average electricity price is higher than in Estonia and the Nordic electricity prices. After Ignalina NPP closure loads increased on the cross-section between Russia and Belarus, where during repair and emergency modes, cross-border transmission capacity has to be limited, leading to problems with power supplies from Russia as well.

4.2 Information about the planned system interconnections (minimum forecast period - 10 years)

On September 22, 2011, the three Baltic TSOs "Augstsprieguma tīkls" AS, "Elering" AS and "Litgrid" AB signed a cooperation agreement on research work carried out in regard of the Baltic States energy integration in the EU internal electricity market and the possible synchronous interconnection with continental Europe networks. Research has received financial support from the European Union Trans-European energy networks funds (hereinafter - TEN-E) development. This research is a prerequisite for the elaboration of technical requirements of possible Baltic States power system synchronization with the continental European synchronous zones. The study is planned to determine the expected costs and economic benefits from the construction of the Baltic grid interconnection to the continental European synchronous zone, as well as to explore the legal documentation and legal obstacles and identify aspects that need to be improved and changed for the disconnection of the IPS / UPS system and joining to the power systems of continental Europe. In 2012 an agreement with the Swedish consulting company "Gothia Power" for study accopmlish was signed. Exploration work planned to be completed by the end of 2013 and, based on the results of the study, a decision could be made on development of each of the Baltic state power systems towards a common goal. Currently, Lithuania has made a political decision and confirmed the long-term development strategy, which provides electric power system synchronous work with Central European networks and asynchronously with the Russian power system. Latvia and Estonia currently are in the political debate on the future development of the energy system in this direction.

In 2010, with the European Union and AS "Latvenergo" co-financing the 330 kV Kurzeme ring construction transmission network Project has been started. Step 1: Riga 330 kV ring construction – the expected comissioning before October 2013 with reconstruction of the 330 kV substation RīgaCHP1 and Imanta and construction of 330kV cable line between RigaCHP1 and Imanta substations, which will increase the security of supply of the city of Riga, including the network maintenance modes. Without the implementation of the project, some of Riga transmission network repair and emergency modes will be more difficult for the reliability requirements to meet. In year 2012 continued intensively cable route design and cable construction works. In year 2013 the design work and the cable line civil engineering works completed. The provision of the project due to the need for strengthening the Riga node to the next step to ensure a close connection to the Western part of Latvia (Kurzeme) 330 kV circuit development. In addition, the increase in power transit reliability in the western region of Lithuania direction, which means reduced transmission network limitations at this area. Under the framework of Kurzeme Ring 1st stage is reconstructed the 110 kV transmission line in Riga "Mīlgrāvis-Bolderāja", rebuilding the transmission line from the overhead to cable construction. 110 kV transmission line Mīlgrāvis-Bolderāja is put into operation in 2012. Implementation of the project will facilitate the Riga Freeport operation and reduce the unexpected disconnections of the line. Stage 2: 330 kV line Grobina-Ventspils. In 2010, with the EU co-funding the environmental impact assessment (hereinafter referred to as - EIA) study and right-of-way (hereinafter-RoW) study procedures has been lauched for public consultations with all the necessary institutions affected by the Project. On December 6, 2011 the EIA study and RoW procedures had been completed and received the positive Environment State Bureau decision to these activities.

Transmission line design work started in 2012 and construction work started in 2013. 3rd stage: 330-kV line Ventspils Tume-Imanta construction is expected before the end of 2018. In 2011, preparatory work has been made for the procurement announcement at stage 3 of the EIA and RoW studies. In 2012 with EU co-financing launched the EIA and RoW research activities that should be finalized in 2013. 330kV line construction development depends on the EU co-financing amount of CEF (European Connection Facilities) Regulation. Kurzeme Ring project will provide the necessary infrastructure for the planned wind farms and the possible growing demand load in the Kurzeme region, connecting two larger (Western and Central) Latvian production and consumption regions, as well as providing a possible increase in transfer capacity, for 700 MW DC interconnection between Sweden and Lithuania Together with the Estonian transmission system operator the feasibility study has been carried out for a new interconnection options between Estonia and Latvia. This interconnection will increase the available interconnection capacity between the Latvian and Estonian power systems. Estonian-Latvian third interconnection is part of the Pan-European transmission network 10-year development plan for 2012 and under development for 2014, and part of the Latvian electricity transmission system 10-year development plan, which was approved by the Public Utilities Commission on August 22, 2012 with decision No. 195. Interconnection is included in the list of Projects of Common Interest and is considered one of the most important projects for the whole Baltic Sea region. As the best option for Estonian-Latvian third interconnection according to technical and economic criteria has been selected option Killing Nomme (Sindi) - Riga CHP2 (Riga). Sindi-Riga route has lower construction costs and the greatest transfer capacity increase in both the normal scheme of up to 500/600MW and in isolated scheme up to 300/500 MW. This route option is crossing densely populated areas and nature protected areas, which could affect the coordination and construction period.

"Augstsprieguma tīkls" AS, "Elering" AS and "Latvijas elektriskie tīkli" AS in February 2012 signed a Memorandum of Understanding stating that all parties seek to attract cofinancing from the European grants, since the implementation of the project is characterised by a major investment disproportion between the Latvian and Estonian TSO's where on a geographical basis Estonian TSO cover only 11% of the total costs, but the Latvian TSO 89% of the total costs. "Latvijas elektriskie tīkli" AS have applied request for the TEN-E cofinancing of the EIA and RoW studies un Latvian territory. In 2013 positive decision has been received from the European Commission for the TEN-E co-financing for the third Estonian-Latvian interconnection and in 2013 launched a process of research and consultation with local governments and the public for the possible routes in the Latvian territory. Futurw Project development is depend on the EU co-financing amounts under CEF (European Connection Facilities) Regulation. The project is expected to be realized by 2020.

Estonian and Finnish TSO until the end of 2013 are planning to commission a second DC interconnection Estlink2 with 650 MW capacity. By the end of 2016 it is also planned to implement the Lithuanian-Swedish (NordBalt) DC interconnection with a 700 MW transmission capacity, thereby providing further Baltic transmission network integration with Scandinavian transmission networks and electricity market integration in the Scandinavian market. Lithuanian TSO and Polish TSO are planning to construct DC interconnection LitPol Link 1 with 500 MW of transmission capacity (Phase 1) by the end of 2015 and with a total 1,000 MW of transmission capacity (Phase 2) by 2020. It should be noted that these connections were based primarily on major power generation development plans in the Baltic States and these connections will result in additional Latvian transmission system load and will demand for reserve capacity increase in the Baltic region.

4.3. PSO conclusions on the electricity transmission system reliability and adequacy of all consumers to provide secure power supply in the previous year and the following years (minimum forecast period - 10 years)

Annual statement paragraphs 3.6. and 4.2. contain descriptions of the projects providing for the adequacy of transmission networks in the face of increasing electricity consumption, installed power plant capacity and power transit. At present significant challenges for operation of power system are created by capacity reduction in cross-border Estonia-Latvia, where one of the possible solutions is of interest to all Latvian and Lithuanian power plants to participate in the electricity market and after "Nord Pool Spot" AS bidding area opening in Latvia to promote the Latvian electricity market liquidity. At present, the power exchange Nord Pool Spot bidding areas are open in all three Baltic countries - Estonia, Lithuania and Latvia.

330kV and 110kV transmission network is planned to be reconstructed, modernized and developed in accordance with "Augstsprieguma tikls" AS (AST) developed and the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) approved electricity transmission system development plan, which is published in the AST and PUC websites. Parallel to the development of 330 kV transmission network it is planned to developed 110 kV transmission network, especially in places that can not provide N-1 criterion to be fulfilled, such as the Latvian North Vidzeme region. In 110 kV transmission network there are planned 110 kV substations reconstruction, which does not meet the technical criteria set out in development policy, as well as the planned replacement of aged transformers. In addition to the 330 kV cable line RigaCHP1-Imanta construction, which will complete the 330 kV ring around the Riga city, in Riga region it is necessary to develop the 110 kV network in order to increase security of power supply.

4.4. Existing generation capacities, greater than 1 MW

Latvian power system power stations with installed capacity above 1 MW are presented in the Table 21:

| | | Table 2 | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Station name | Installed capacity (MW) | | | | | | | | |
| | Natural gas co-generation stations | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | BK ENERĢIJA, SIA | 3.9 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Daugavpils siltumtīkli, PAS, SC1 | 3.9 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Dobeles enerģija, SIA | 1.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Elektro bizness, SIA | 3.6 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Energy & Communication, AS | 3.9 | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | JUGLAS JAUDA, SIA | 14.9 | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | LIEPĀJAS ENERĢIJA, SIA | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Līvbērzes enerģija, SIA | 1.644 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Mārupes siltumnīcas | 1.999 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Olenergo, SIA | 3.12 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | RĪGAS SILTUMS, AS | 2.4 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | SABIEDRĪBA MĀRUPE, SIA | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Sal-Energo, SIA | 3.99 | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | SALDUS SILTUMS, SIA | 1.3 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Uni-enerkom, SIA | 1.998 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | VANGAŽU SILDSPĒKS, SIA | 2.746 | | | | | | | | |

| 17 | VALMIERAS ENERĢIJA, AS | 1.99 |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|
| 18 | VALMIERAS ENERĢIJA, AS | 1.99 |
| 19 | Betula Premium, SIA | 1.9 |
| 20 | Enefit power un Heat Valka, SIA | 2.4 |
| 21 | RTU enerģija, SIA | 1.56 |
| 22 | Olainfarm enerģija, AS | 2 |
| 23 | RĪGAS SILTUMS, AS | 47,7 |
| 24 | WINDAU, SIA | 3.86 |
| Biomas and biogas power stations | | |
| 1 | AD Biogāzes stacija, SIA | 1.96 |
| 2 | Agro Iecava, SIA | 1.95 |
| 3 | Conatus BIOenergy, SIA | 1.96 |
| 4 | Bioenerģija-08, SIA | 1.98 |
| 5 | Biodegviela, SIA | 2 |
| 6 | BIO ZIEDI, SIA | 2.5 |
| 7 | DAILE AGRO, SIA | 1 |
| 8 | Getliņi EKO, BO SIA | 5.24 |
| 9 | Grow Energy, SIA | 1.995 |
| 10 | KŅAVAS GRANULAS, SIA | 1 |
| 11 | LIEPĀJAS RAS, SIA | 1 |
| 12 | RIGENS, SIA | 2.096 |
| 13 | Zaļā Mārupe, SIA | 1 |
| 14 | GRAANUL INVEST, SIA | 6.492 |
| 15 | Krāslavas nami, SIA | 1 |
| 16 | Liepājas Enerģija, SIA | 2.5 |
| 17 | GAS STREAM | 1 |
| 18 | BIO FUTURE, SIA | 1 |
| 19 | MC Bio, SIA | 1.095 |
| 20 | Pampāļi, SIA | 1 |
| 21 | EcoZeta, SIA | 1.3 |
| 22 | Saldus enerģija,SIA | 1.862 |
| 23 | BIOEninvest, SIA | 1 |
| 24 | Priekules Bioenerģija, SIA | 1 |
| 25 | Piejūras energy, SIA | 1.6 |
| 26 | Agro Lestene, SIA | 1 |
| 27 | OŠUKALNS, SIA | 1.4 |
| 28 | Fortum Jelgava, SIA | 22.996 |
| 1 | Wind power stations | |
| 1 | Baltnorvent, SIA, Alsungas VES | 2 |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | BK Energija, SIA | 1.95 |
| | Enercom Plus, SIA Impakt, SIA Užavas VES | 2.75 |
| 4 5 | | 1 2 |
| <u> </u> | Lenkas energo, SIA Lenkas VES-1 VĒJA PARKS 10, SIA | 1.8 |
| 0 7 | VĒJA PARKS 10, SIA VĒJA PARKS 11, SIA | 1.8 |
| 1 | ν μια γάλα της | 1.0 |

| 0 | | 1.0 | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------|--|
| 8 | VĒJA PARKS 12, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 9 | VĒJA PARKS 13, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 10 | VĒJA PARKS 14, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 11 | VĒJA PARKS 15, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 12 | VĒJA PARKS 16, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 13 | VĒJA PARKS 17, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 14 | VĒJA PARKS 18, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 15 | VĒJA PARKS 19, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 16 | VĒJA PARKS 20, SIA | 1.8 | |
| 17 | WINENERGY, SIA | 20.7 | |
| Hydro power stations | | | |
| 1 | Spridzēnu HES, SIA | 1.2 | |
| Latvenergo power stations | | | |
| 1 | Kegums HPP | 240 | |
| 2 | Riga HPP | 402 | |
| 3 | Plavinas HPP | 894 | |
| 4 | RigaCHP1 | 144 | |
| 5 | RigaCHP2 | 662 | |

4.5. Actions during maximum demand or supply deficit periods

In the event of the deficit of power and energy in Latvian territory and in the neighboring countries to cover the consumption of the Latvian power system, the TSO will be forced to disable from the network a certain number of consumers in order to balance the power consumption and the generation in Latvian power system. In this case, the TSO will act according to Latvian legislation and will inform the Ministry of Economy of the problem of ensuring the balance of power.

On behalf of "Augstsprieguma tīkls" AS

Chairman of the Board

2. Fr

V. Boks